# COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES

113th Congress Disclosure Form

Required by House Rules XI, clause 2(g) and the Rules of the Committee on Natural Resources

Oversight hearing on Data Collection Issues in relation to the Reauthorization of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Tuesday, May 21, 2013

#### For Witnesses Representing Organizations:

1.	Name:	Randy Fisher, Executive Director
2. 3.	Name of Organization You Represent: Business Address:	Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission [Information redacted for privacy]
4.	Business Email Address:	[Information redacted for privacy]
5.	Business Phone Number:	[Information redacted for privacy]

# Randy Fisher, Executive Director Representing Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

Subcommittee on FWO&IA Oversight Hearing-Tuesday, May 21, 2013

# Witness & Witnesses Representing Organizations Requirements:

- a. Training and Educational-see Attachment "1"
- b. Licenses, etc., None
- c. Employment-see Attachment "1"
- d. Federal Grants or Contract-see Attachment "2'
- e. Lawsuits, etc.- None
- f. Lawsuits, etc.- None
- g. Other Information-see Written Presentation-Attachment "4"
- h. Offices, etc.-None
- i. Federal Grants or Contracts-see Attachment "2"
- j. Lawsuits, etc., Non
- k. Lawsuits, etc., None
- I. Tax-Exempt Organizations, etc.,- see Attachment "3"

#### Work Experience:

# **Randy Fisher**

Executive Director Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission Responsibilities have included managing a number of large organizations. Also extensive experience working with interest groups, legislators, employee groups, including labor unions, media, state, local and federal agencies, business interests and individuals with concerns.

Worked directly for four Governors and currently for fifteen member Commission.

Involved in very complex issues having considerable impact both economically and socially. The policy decisions I have made, often have been subject to a very open process involving difficult 11egotiations.

Held a number of leadership positions, and have developed management structures that have resulted in effective, efficien( innovative and progressive organizations.

# **Employment History**

#### Pa<;ific States Marine Fisheries Commission

Exec CJtive Director: r:ebruary 1994 to present

- Chief Administrator for a Commission chartered by Congress in 1947, representing fishery interests for the States of Alaska, California, Idaho, Oregon and Washington
- Annual Budget: \$55 million
- Permanent full-time employees: Approximately 300-400

#### Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

Director: November 1-986- February 1994

- Chief Ad.ministrator for tile agency char§!3d with management of all fish and wildlife species, and regulation of all sport hunting, angling and commercial fisheries
- Annual budget: \$80 million
- Permanent, full-time employees: Approximately 1,150

#### Washingten\_D partment of Veterans Affairs

Director: November 1984 - November 1986

- Chief Administrator for the agency re ponsible for management of all Veterans Programs. These r;>rograms inclu<ifd medical and nursing facilities caring for 650 patients, medical and benefit counseling for World War I, World War II, Korean and Vietnam Veterans.
- Annual budget: \$30 million
- Permanent, full-time employees: Approximately 250

#### Washington Office of the Governor (John Spellman)

Acting Deputy Chief of Staff: August- November 1984

- Responsible for Governor's office coordination and policy direction with State Agencies
- Specifically responsible for all Natural Resource Policy

#### Washington Department of Veterans Affairs

Director: December 1981 - August 1984

- Chief Administrator for the agency responsible for management of all Veterans Programs.
- These programs included medical and nursing facilities caring for 650 patients, medical and benefit counseling for World War I, World War II, Korean and Vietnam Veterans.

#### Washington State Planning and Community Affairs Agency

Deputy Director: March - December 1981

- Responsible for internal agency operations, including budgeting, financial tracking, program develoJJment and monitoring. Agency provided direction and funding to local government planning progress.
- Annual Budget: \$30 million
- Permanent, full-time employees: Approximately 80

#### Washington Department of Ecology

Acting Deputy Director: November 1980- February 1981

- Assistant Director Management and Budget: 1978 1980
- Comptroller: 1976-1978
- Budget Planner: 1972 1976
- Responsible for the financial and budget programs, including development, tracking and reporting.
- Annual Budget: \$80 million
- Permanent, full-time employees: Approximately 300

#### Education

- Harvard University- Executive Policy, and Management Program
- University of Puget Sound Master's Program, Business
- Western Washington State- Master:'s Program, Political Science
- · Western Washington State- Bachelors in Urban Planning and Sociology

# Personal

Born October 19, 1946, raised in Sumas, Washington Veteran, Vietnam Active outdoorsman who particularly enjoys fishing, scuba diving, boating, golfing and skiing

#### **Business Address**

Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission 205 SE Spokane Street, Suite 100 Portland, Oregon 97202

Agroomont No	Droject Nome	Starting Data	Ending Data	Total Award Amount
Agreement No.	Project Name	Starting Date	Ending Date	
NA10NMF4070441	IJFA	9/1/2010	8/31/2014	757,782.00
NA10NMF4360439	SALMON HABITAT RESTORATION	10/1/2010	9/30/2013	2,095,000.00
NA10NMF4370286	FISHSET-FISHERIES SPATIAL ECONOMIC TOOLBOX	6/2/2010	5/31/2014	1,300,856.00
NA10NMF4370440	COST EARNINGS EFIN	9/1/2010	3/31/2014	450,000.00
NA10NMF4370449	RECFIN	7/1/2010	6/30/2014	5,894,002.00
NA10NMF4370459	AKFIN	7/1/2010	6/30/2014	9,505,848.00
NA10NMF4370460	PACFIN	2/1/2010	1/31/2014	9,353,232.00
NA10NMF4370469	GF TRAWL OBSERVER COMPLIANCE MONITORING	10/1/2010	9/30/2014	12,781,270.00
NA10NMF4370448	W COAST GF OBSERVER PROGRAM	9/1/2010	8/31/2012	3,550,000.00
NA10NMF4410466	ENERGY SYMPOSIUM	8/1/2010	7/31/2011	214,864.00
NA10NMF4520479	YUKON RIVER COMMERICAL FISHERY DISASTER RELIEF	10/1/2010	9/30/2012	5,000,000.00
NA10NMF4720297	SANTA YNEZ RIVER WATERSHED ASSESSMENT	6/1/2010	5/31/2011	48,000.00
NA11NMF4000175	UPPER SANTA YNEZ HABITAT ASSESSMENT	7/1/2011	10/31/2012	75,048.00
NA11NMF4370169	EDR COLLECTION FOR BSAI RATIONALIZED CRAB	10/1/2011	9/30/2015	528,293.00
NA11NMF4370198	W COAST GF FISHING INDUSTRY	8/1/2011	7/31/2014	1,146,180.00
NA11NMF4370212	PAC. COAST FISHERIES MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	7/1/2011	6/30/2015	5,714,579.00
NA11NMF4370255	W COAST GF OBSERVER PROGRAM	9/1/2011	10/31/2013	3,215,000.00
NA11NMF4370257	WEST COAST GROUNDFISH CATCH SHARES PGM	9/1/2011	2/28/2013	971,146.00
NA11NMF4390206	PINNIPED POPULATION STUDIES	10/1/2011	9/30/2015	550,000.00
NA12NMF4360202	MONITORING SALMON HABITAT RESTORATION	7/1/2012	6/30/2017	1,127,834.00
NA12NMF4370222	WEST COAST GROUNDFISH OBSERVER PROGRAM	9/1/2012	8/31/2013	2,639,559.00
NA12NMF4370239	RECFIN	7/1/2012	6/30/2013	2,736,956.00
NA12NMF4720248	BREP CHINOOK SALMON BYCATCH 2012	10/1/2012	3/31/2014	130,043.00
NA12NMF4720257	2012 BREP ROCKFISH BYCATCH	10/1/2012	3/31/2014	144,598.00
NA08NMF4370657	NATIONAL VMS DATA COLLECTION	7/1/2008	6/30/2014	7,750,000.00
NA08NMF4370660	2008-2013 GROUNDFISH AGE READER PROGRAM	9/1/2008	8/31/2013	2,122,645.00
NA080AR4170927	PSMFC SALMON AND AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES PGM	8/1/2008	7/31/2013	614,549.00
NA09NMF4370398	WEST COAST GROUNDFISH TIQ	9/1/2009	8/31/2012	855,000.00
NA10NMF4000296	S FORK EEL RIVER COHO POPULATION MONITORING	7/1/2010	6/30/2013	90,000.00
NA10NMF4340183	COOP ECONOMIC COLLECTION & MGMT	7/1/2010	6/30/2012	625,000.00

AB133F09CQ0012	NMFS TASK ORDERS- SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT SVCS	5/1/2009	10/31/2013	6,532,931.03
AB133F09CN0169	STUDIES TO DEVELOP BYCATCH REDUCING FISHING GEAR	9/14/2009	10/31/2012	472,956.00
AB133F12SE1889	BYCATCH REDUCTION	8/16/2012	10/31/2013	102,892.40
AB133F11CQ0032	ALBACORE PORT SAMPLING	7/28/2011	4/30/2014	356,773.25

District Director P.O. Box 3151, Los Angeles, Calif. 90053

 Person to Contact: Felicia C. Miraflor
Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission 2501 SW First Ave Ste 200 Portland, OR 97201-4752
Refer Reply to: E0-0629-92

Date: Jul 1.4 1992

RE: Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commicsion EIN: 93-6002376

.Gentlemen:

This is in reference to your request for a letter of exemption from Federal income tax for the above named organization.

The Internal Revenue Code makes no provision for the issuance of exemption letters to instrumentalities of the state or municipal government, since section 115 of the Code specifically excludes their income from the definition of gross income.

Because this organization is an integral part of the governmental agency, an exemption letter is not necessary and evidently was not issued.

If you need any further assistance, please feel free to contact our office at the above address or telephone number.

Very truly yours,

Felicia C. Miraflor Disclosure Assistant

#### COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES May 21, 2013 Randy Fisher, Executive Director, Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

# OPENING STATEMENT

Good Morning. My name is Randy Fisher and I am the Executive Director of the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission. The Commission represents the States of Washington, Oregon, Idaho, California and Alaska.

The Commission manages a number of large projects that focus on scientific, inventory and economic research and data collection.

Today I will focus on three data collection activities, and I will offer some thoughts on the future, based on activities in which the Commission are involved.

The <u>first Data Collection activity</u> I will focus on is our Recreational Fisheries Information Network or RecFIN.

RecFIN is a cooperative effort between the state fishery agencies in Washington, Oregon, and California, the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (the Commission), and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). The four goals of RecFIN are:

- Develop and implement a State/Federal cooperative program for a coastwide marine recreational fisheries data system;
- Coordinate collection, management, and dissemination of Pacific coast marine recreational fishery data;
- Provide the data in a central location on a timely basis in the format needed to support state and federal work on Pacific marine recreational fisheries; and
- Reduce and avoid duplication of data collection efforts between RecFIN members.

The database contains recreational fishery data for the years 1980-89 and 1993 to the present. The primary source of data in the RecFIN database comes from the following five state sampling programs: Oregon Recreational Boat Survey and the Oregon Shore and Estuary Boats Survey; Washington Ocean Sampling Program and the Washington Puget Sound Boat Survey; and the California Recreational Fisheries Survey. These programs are funded by NMFS along with state agency funding in all three states. The survey is spread out over about 800 fishing sites coastwide in the three states. Of these sites, about 57% are in California, 10% in Oregon and 33% in Washington State.

The number of marine anglers in these states total1,400,000. Total cost of this program is \$5,700,000 with the National Marine Fisheries Service contributing \$2,000,000 or 36% of the cost. Each of these states have marine licenses with an annual average cost of \$43.54 and a daily cost of \$14.24.

Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission provided partial funding for sampling in Oregon and Washington through the RecFIN. Sampling was conducted by the states. A total of about 40% of all ocean boat angler trips were sampled in Oregon in 2011, where sampling occurred from March through October. A pilot survey funded through the Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP) from National Marine Fisheries Service, allowed for winter sampling and sampling of minor ports that has not been done in a few years. As a result sampling occurred year round in 2011 in Oregon.

The State of Washington conducted their Ocean Boat survey and the Puget Sound Boat Survey in 2011. Sampling occurred throughout the year in Puget Sound and also year round on the coast. Sampling rates were at about 40% of all ocean boat trips.

In California, in 2011, over 90,000 angler trips were sampled during the 12 month sampling program.

Two states utilized their angler license frame for estimation of fishing effort in certain modes of fishing. These include Puget Sound Boat trips in Washington and shore and private access and night boat effort in California. All other modes of fishing in the three states are estimated from direct field counts.

All catch and effort information for each sampling month from the various surveys are loaded into the RecFIN database maintained at PSMFC with a one-month lag time. Detailed explanations of the sampling conducted, sampling methodology and estimation statistics of the various sampling programs along with catch and effort information and estimates by month are available for all three states (Oregon, Washington, and California) and the Pacific Fishery Management Council.

The **second Data Collection activity** is our Pacific Fisheries Information Network or PacFIN. This network is the nation's first regional fisheries data network. PacFIN is a joint federal and state project focused on fisheries data collection and information management. PacFIN provides timely and accurate data to aid effective management of fisheries and fishery resources.

Data from fisheries occurring in ocean areas off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, California, Alaska, and British Columbia are provided to the PacFIN central database.

The PacFIN central database includes fish-ticket and vessel registration data provided by the Washington, Oregon, and California state fishery agencies. In addition the data sources supply species-composition and catch-by-area proportions developed from their port sampling and trawl logbook data systems.

The National Marine Fisheries Service, Northwest Region, supplies the central database with limited-entry permit data and also incorporated is the vessel data provided by the U.S. Coast Guard. The National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fishery Science Center inputs weekly aggregates developed from their tow-by-tow observer database.

The data for the Alaska groundfish fishery are provided by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and the National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Region in the form of monthly aggregates, for fish caught in Alaska waters but landed in Washington ports. The Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada also makes a contribution to this West Coast fisheries data system.

The best estimates of catch for each groundfish species by month, area, and gear-type are developed from the source data just-mentioned.

PacFIN staff provides historical landings data since 1981 as well as support with data retrievals, analyses and review of the National Marine Fisheries Service's catch share calculations for the West Coast trawl rationalization/Individual Fishing Quota program. This information is used to provide Quota Shares/Quota Pounds to the fleet.

On the West Coast we have 272 federally licensed vessels, 119 of those are in the Catch Share Program.

The annual cost of this program is has been around \$6,000,000. Historically the National Marine Fisheries Service has contributed close to \$3,000,000 or 50% of the cost, however in 2013, this will drop to \$2,400,000.

The third Data Collection Activity is our Alaska Fisheries Information Network of AKFIN.

AKFIN was established in 1997 with the goal to acquire and consolidate the vast quantity of data generated by the Alaska fisheries, to provide quantitative analyses and interpretations of these data, and then to disseminate the processed information to fishery analysts, scientists, economists, and other administrative agencies.

AKFIN maintains an extensive data library from which information is used to fulfill data requests. AKFIN provides direct access to much of the information maintained in the data library via a secure connection.

The primary purpose is to provide complex data sets to fisheries analysts and economists to support the Council's decision-making process.

AKFIN consolidates the agency data sources into a single, comprehensive database, applying value-added information to provide a standardized view of the Alaska commercial fisheries data for analytic purposes.

AKFIN supports the data needs of fisheries analysts and economists by consolidating commercial fisheries data and dispensing that data upon request using custom programming service and on-line tools. Information is aggregated from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission, National Marine Fisheries Service Alaska Region, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, North Pacific Science Center, North Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission.

AKFIN reports catch data, harvest and value from commercial fisheries in Alaska using the best available data from data source agencies. Once these data are incorporated into its system, AKFIN reports information from several critical perspectives, which are used to identify and quantify impacts related to changes in fisheries management. These include species, area, gear, vessel, processor, community, and fishery participants by season.

AKFIN has an online reporting tool that provides authorized stock assessors, social scientists, and economists with direct access to AKFIN's analytical database and metadata resources. This tool allows users to access prepared reports and to formulate ad-hoc queries that can be saved and shared with other analysts.

Concerning the future and new technology, I will discuss three that we are involved with:

**First-**Electronic Fish Tickets and Electronic Compliance Monitoring **Second** – Electronic Log Books **Third** – Electronic Monitoring – i.e. Camera's

**First-**Electronic Fish Tickets and Electronic Compliance Monitoring:

The Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission continues to develop and support the expansion software applications for the current West Coast Electronic Fish Ticket Reporting and Compliance Monitoring Program. E-ticket software is provided free to registered fish buyers in all here states and can capture data for any of the 27 West Coast tickets. A web portal was developed to simplify creation of reporting organizations and provide download access to software, updated and submitted tickets. In addition, software was developed to simplify the installation process and automate the process of submitting the data. The submission updates shifted data access by email to a direct web-reporting process.

This application has been in use since 2007 when it was adopted by the National Marine Fisheries Service as the official landing records for the whiting fishery. With the introduction of the Catch Shares program in 2011, Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC) electronic tickets were identified as the official record for all catch share landings.

In 2012 Oregon adopted the PSMFC electronic fish ticket as the official record for all its different fish tickets. Oregon dealers who submit tickets electronically are no longer required to submit paper copies of these tickets. This program has been fairly successful in use. 23% of the fish tickets, representing 70% of the landed pounds are captured electronically in Oregon.

Washington is next, adopting the electronic ticket for one of its six ticket types. In 2012, electronic tickets accounted for less than 1% of the total number of tickets submitted but captured almost 19% of the pounds landed.

With respect to the Compliance Monitoring program, an electronic data capture application was developed to capture the data from the monitors and submit it to PSMFC.

This program has been in place since the beginning of the West Coast Catch Shares program.

# Second- Electronic Log Books:

On the West Coast, Log Books are a state requirement and each state has its own set of log books. The exception is the Trawl logbooks which is a single logbook adopted by all three states.

In 2008, PSMFC developed an electronic log book at the request of the trawl fleet.

Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission is considering adopting one of two electronic logbooks currently in use, one developed in Alaska, the other in the Northeast. PSMFC has a grant to adapt the Northeast logbook for use with the highly migratory fleet fishing primarily out of the Southwest.

We believe electronic log books will be a tool in the future especially in IFQ Fisheries. For this to be effective it will require a Federal Log Book program by regulation.

## **Third** – Electronic Monitoring – i.e. Cameras

Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission has been very involved in developing an Electronic Monitoring Compliance Monitoring Program for the West Coast and Alaska. This program does not replace the current 20% biological sampling program that has existed on the West Coast for some time. The focus is on compliance that is accounting for all the fish that are caught and those that are discarded.

In 2013, we will have cameras on 7 fixed gear boats, 2 whiting boats and 13 trawlers.

## Goals:

The goals of the projects are simple. First, we want to first maintain the integrity of the existing system that gathers biological data, second we want to save some money for the fishermen and management, third, we want to insure the confidence of the landing and discard data.

Fourth, we want to integrate with electronic logbooks and,

Fifth, we want to look for opportunities to add to stock assessment interaction.

We have looked closely at the Canadian system and it works. Basically, it compares camera footage to the skipper's log book. Any differences are the basis for further investigation and possible enforcement action.

We are currently comparing observed data to camera images to insure we are confident in accounting for catch and discards.

In order for us to move to cameras the Pacific and North Pacific Councils and the National Marine Fisheries Service have to be confident that cameras can work.

Fishermen may have to change how they fish.

We will have to work out definitions, i.e. "what is a discard". We have to work out enforcement issues, i.e., "what happens if someone puts a bucket over the camera", and we have to work out cost issues i.e., "if you carry a camera instead of an observer, how much will that cost the fisherman".

We have had many discussions with the fleet, with enforcement, and with the scientists and the bottom line is that these are show stoppers.

Concerning amendments to the Act that could provide better data collection activities, I do not have any specific recommendations.

I believe the Act provides the framework that can result in better data collection.

Our experience has been that better data collection is usually related to better funding.

Randy Fisher, Executive Director Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

May 21, 2013