#### COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES 113<sup>th</sup> Congress Disclosure Form As required by and provided for in House Rule XI, clause 2(g) and the Rules of the Committee on Natural Resources

Subcommittee on Public Lands and Environmental Regulation's oversight hearing: "Invasive Species Management on Federal Lands" May 16, 2013

For Individuals:

1. Name:

- 2. Address:
- 3. Email Address:
- 4. Phone Number:

\* \* \* \* \*

For Witnesses Representing Organizations:

- 1. Name: James D. Ogsbury
- 2. Name of Organization(s) You are Representing at the Hearing: Western Governors' Association
- 3. Business Address: [Information redacted for privacy]
- 4. Business Email Address: [Information redacted for privacy]
- 5. Business Phone Number: [Information redacted for privacy]

### For all Witnesses

Name/Organization: Mr. James D. Ogsbury/Western Governors' Association Title/Date of Hearing: Sub on PL&ER oversight hearing: "*Invasive Species Management on Federal Lands*" May 16, 2013

a. Any training or educational certificates, diplomas or degrees or other educational experiences that are relevant to your qualifications to testify on or knowledge of the subject matter of the hearing.

Not applicable

b. Any professional licenses, certifications, or affiliations held that are relevant to your qualifications to testify on or knowledge of the subject matter of the hearing.

Not applicable

c. Any employment, occupation, ownership in a firm or business, or work-related experiences that relate to your qualifications to testify on or knowledge of the subject matter of the hearing.

Clerk and Staff Director - House Appropriations Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development

Manager, Federal Relations – Salt River Project (nation's third largest public power utility and Arizona's largest water supplier).

d. Any federal grants or contracts (including subgrants or subcontracts) from the Department of the Interior or Department of Agriculture that you have received in the current year and previous four years, including the source and the amount of each grant or contract.

Grants received by the organization: Western Region Cohesive Wildland Fire Management Strategy – USDA Forest Service - \$386,000 Forest Rangeland and Health Program – USDA Forest Service - \$900,000 West-wide (Westjump) Air Quality Modeling Study – BLM - \$500,000 Williston MT Basin & Gas Emission Inventory – BLM - \$230,000 Particulate Matter Deterministic Empirical Tagging Assessment of Impacts on Levels – BLM - \$703,682

e. A list of all lawsuits or petitions filed by you against the federal government in the current year and the previous four years, giving the name of the lawsuit or petition, the subject matter of the lawsuit or petition, and the federal statutes under which the lawsuits or petitions were filed.

Not Applicable

f. A list of all federal lawsuits filed against you by the federal government in the current year and the previous four years, giving the name of the lawsuit, the subject matter of the lawsuit, and the federal statutes under which the lawsuits were filed.

None

g. Any other information you wish to convey that might aid the Members of the Committee to better understand the context of your testimony.

#### Witnesses Representing Organizations

Name/Organization: Mr. James D. Ogsbury/Western Governors' Association Title/Date of Hearing: Sub on PL&ER oversight hearing: "*Invasive Species Management on Federal Lands*" May 16, 2013

h. Any offices, elected positions, or representational capacity held in the organization(s) on whose behalf you are testifying.

As Executive Director of the WGA, I'm testifying on behalf of the Governors of 19 Western states and three U.S.-flag islands.

i. Any federal grants or contracts (including subgrants or subcontracts) from the Department of the Interior<u>or</u> Department of Agriculture that were received in the current year and previous four years by the organization(s) you represent at this hearing, including the source and amount of each grant or contract for each of the organization(s).

Grants received by the organization: Western Region Cohesive Wildland Fire Management Strategy – USDA Forest Service - \$386,000 Forest Rangeland and Health Program – USDA Forest Service - \$900,000 West-wide (Westjump) Air Quality Modeling Study – BLM - \$500,000 Williston MT Basin & Gas Emission Inventory – BLM - \$230,000 Particulate Matter Deterministic Empirical Tagging Assessment of Impacts on Levels – BLM - \$703,682

j. A list of all lawsuits or petitions filed by the organization(s) you represent at the hearing against the federal government in the current year and the previous four years, giving the name of the lawsuit or petition, the subject matter of the lawsuit or petition, and the federal statutes under which the lawsuits or petitions were filed for each of the organization(s).

Not applicable

k. A list of all federal lawsuits filed against the organization(s) you represent at the hearing by the federal government in the current year and the previous four years, giving the name of the lawsuit, the subject matter of the lawsuit, and the federal statutes under which the lawsuits were filed.

Not applicable

1. For tax-exempt organizations and non-profit organizations, copies of the three most recent public IRS Form 990s (including Form 990-PF, Form 990-N, and Form 990-EZ) for each of the organization(s) you represent at the hearing (not including any contributor names and addresses or any information withheld from public inspection by the Secretary of the Treasury under 26 U.S.C. 6104)).

WGA is an Instrumentality of the States and does not file a Form 990. FY12 Audit is attached.

Combined Financial Statements And Single Audit As Of June 30, 2012

Together With Independent Auditors' Report



certified public accountants, consultants and advisors

Page -2-



# **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

To the Board of Directors of Western Governors' Association:

We have audited the accompanying combined statement of financial position of Western Governors' Association (the "Association") and Western Governors' Foundation (the "Foundation"), (collectively referred to as the "Organization,") as of June 30, 2012, and the related combined statements of activities and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Organization's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these combined financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the combined financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the combined financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit and the report of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion the combined financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Organization as of June 30, 2012, and the changes in their net assets and their cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audits were performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The schedule of indirect cost rate and schedule of fringe benefits rate for the year ended June 30, 2012, presented on pages 15 and 16 are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards for the year ended June 30, 2012 as presented on page 17 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, <u>Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations</u>, and is also not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

Members:American Institute of Certified Public Accountants • Colorado Society of Certified Public Accountants5670 Greenwood Plaza Blvd., Suite 200 • Greenwood Village, CO 80111-2405 • 303 771 0123 • 303 771 0078 fax

www.jdscpagroup.com

Independent Auditors' Report (Continued)

The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

# JDS Professional Group

January 30, 2013

Combined Statement Of Financial Position As Of June 30, 2012

Page -4-

### ASSETS

Current Assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,609,096
Accounts receivable -	
Federal	1,196,884
Private	211,743
Other	8,590
Promises to give, net	107,748
Investments	2,017,778
Prepayments	52,318
Total Current Assets	6,204,157
Property and equipment, net	46,559
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 6,250,716
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	
Liabilities:	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable	\$ 1,080,104
Accrued liabilities	83,998
Deferred lease incentive	26,237
Deferred revenue	499,007
Total Current Liabilities	1,689,346
Deferred lease incentive - long term	33,732
Total Liabilities	1,723,078
Net Assets:	
Unrestricted	4,392,920
Temporarily restricted	134,718
Total Net Assets	4,527,638
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	\$ 6,250,716
	- , , ,

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

# Combined Statement Of Activities For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

	Unrestricted	Temporarily Restricted		Total
Support And Revenue:	Onestreted	Kesuiteteu		Total
Government contracts	\$ 4,271,442	\$	\$	4,271,442
Private grant contributions	1,229,349	¢ 225,000	Ψ	1,454,349
Membership dues	520,600	225,000		520,600
Meeting, conference registrations and sponsorships	1,215,893	46,579		1,262,472
Investment income	29,365	,		29,365
Other revenue	6,894			6,894
Net assets released from restriction -	,			-,
Satisfaction of program restriction	431,708	(431,708)		
Total Support And Revenue	7,705,251	(160,129)		7,545,122
Expenses:				
Program Services -				
Energy policy	3,388,417			3,388,417
Environment and natural resources	1,886,807			1,886,807
Public lands	582,502			582,502
Regional development	333,120			333,120
Liaison - Washington, D.C. office	299,648			299,648
Total Program Services	6,490,494			6,490,494
Supporting Services -				
Fundraising	696,551			696,551
General administrative	405,781			405,781
Total Supporting Services	1,102,332			1,102,332
Total Expenses	7,592,826			7,592,826
CHANGES IN NET ASSETS	112,425	(160,129)		(47,704)
Net Assets, Beginning Of Year	4,280,495	294,847		4,575,342
NET ASSETS, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 4,392,920</u>	<u>\$ 134,718</u>	\$	4,527,638

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

Combined Statement Of Cash Flow	S
For The Year Ended June 30, 2012	

Pa	ge	-6-

Cash flows from operating activities:	
Changes in net assets	\$ (47,704)
Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets	
to net cash provided by operating activities:	
Depreciation and amortization	26,989
Net loss on investments	10,645
Allowances for promises to give	28,366
Changes in operating assets and liabilities -	
(Increase) in accounts receivable	(158,350)
Decrease in promises to give	66,331
Decrease in prepayments	6,998
Increase in accounts payable	66,694
Increase in accrued liabilities	20,410
(Decrease) in deferred lease incentive	(22,488)
Increase in deferred revenue	(98,673)
Net cash (used in) operating activities	 (100,782)
Cash flows from investing activities:	
Purchase of investments	(1,226,253)
Sales of investments	1,122,371
Purchases of property and equipment	(11,892)
Net cash (used in) investing activities	 (115,774)
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(216,556)
Cash And Cash Equivalents, Beginning Of Year	 2,825,652
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR	\$ 2,609,096

Notes To Financial Statements For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

### (1) <u>Reporting Entity</u>

Western Governors' Association (the "Association") is an instrumentality of the State of Colorado under Section 170(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Act of 1954. Its purpose is to provide a means by which the governors of the member states can address regional policy management issues and coordinate joint activities within the region.

The Western Governors' Foundation (the "Foundation") is a nonprofit corporation incorporated in the State of Colorado. It is organized for the benefit of or to support the Association and other publicly supporter organizations which are closely related in purpose or function to the Association.

The Association and the Foundation are controlled by the same Board of Directors and Officers and, therefore, are presented on a combined basis and reported as Western Governors' Association (the "Organization"). Inter-organizational activities have been eliminated in the total columns of the financial statements.

### (2) <u>Summary Of Significant Accounting Policies</u>

#### Method Of Accounting

The financial statements of the Organization have been prepared on the accrual basis.

#### **Basis Of Presentation**

The Organization is required to report information regarding financial position and activities according to three classes of net assets: unrestricted net assets, temporarily restricted net assets, and permanently restricted net assets. The Organization does not have any permanently restricted net assets.

#### Use Of Estimates

Management uses estimates and assumptions in preparing financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Those estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could vary from the estimates that were assumed in preparing the financial statements.

#### Fair Value Measurements

The Organization follows *Fair Value Measurements* which among other things requires enhanced disclosures about investments that are measured and reported at fair value and establishes a framework

Notes To Financial Statements (Continued)

for measuring fair value. That framework provides a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

- Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Organization has the ability to access.
- Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include:
  - Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
  - Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets;
  - Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability;
  - Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, the Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The asset's or liability's fair value measurement level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used need to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value.

*Common Stocks, Exchange Traded Funds, Exchange Traded Notes and Mutual Funds:* Valued at the closing price reported on the active market on which the individual securities are traded.

The methods described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the Organization believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

In general, investments are exposed to various risks, such as interest rate, credit and overall market volatility risk. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investments, it is reasonably possible that changes in the values of the investments will occur in the near term and that such changes could materially affect the investment balances and the amounts reported in the statement of financial position.

Notes To Financial Statements (Continued)

The carrying amount reported in the statement of financial position for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, promises to give, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximate fair value because of the immediate or short-term maturities of these financial instruments.

#### Cash And Cash Equivalents

The Organization considers all checking, savings, certificates of deposit and money market accounts with original maturities of ninety days or less to be cash and cash equivalents.

#### Accounts Receivable

The majority of the accounts receivable is from funding from government contracts. As of June 30, 2012, the management of the Organization believes all receivable to be collectable and, accordingly, no allowance for doubtful accounts was deemed necessary.

#### Promises To Give

Contributions are recognized when the donor makes a promise to give, that is, in substance, unconditional. Conditional promises to give are recognized only when the conditions on which they depend on are substantially met and the promises become unconditional.

The allowance method is used to determine uncollectible unconditional promises to give. The allowance is based on the prior years' experience and management's analysis of specific promises. An allowance for uncollectible promises to give has been recorded in the amount of \$81,921 as of June 30, 2012.

Promises to give that are expected to be collected within one year are recorded at their net realizable value. Promises to give that are expected to be collected in future years are recorded at the present value of estimated future cash flows. As of June 30, 2012, all promises to give were expected to be collected within one year, and therefore no discount was computed.

#### Property And Equipment

Office furniture and equipment of \$500 or more is capitalized at cost. Depreciation, which includes amortization of leasehold improvements, is computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the property or lease, which is three to ten years.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs are charged to operating expenses as incurred. Material expenditures, which increase the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over the estimated useful life of the asset.

Notes To Financial Statements (Continued)

#### **Deferred Revenue**

Revenue from government contracts is deemed to be earned when the Organization has incurred costs or other expenditures which satisfy the terms imposed by the respective grants or contracts. Funds received from government sources in excess of costs incurred are reported as deferred revenues. For costs incurred in excess of funds received from sources, revenue and related receivables are recognized to the extent of such costs.

#### **Contributions**

Contributions received are recorded as unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted support, depending on the existence of any donor restrictions.

Support, including investment income, that is restricted by the donor is reported as an increase in unrestricted net assets if the restriction expires in the reporting period in which the support is recognized. All other donor-restricted support is reported as an increase in temporarily restricted net assets. When a restriction expires (that is, when a stipulated time restriction ends or purpose restriction is accomplished), temporarily restricted net assets are released in the Statement of Activities as satisfaction of restrictions.

#### **Revenue Recognition**

Membership dues are recognized ratably over the term of the membership period. Revenues from government contracts are recognized to the extent of allowable direct and indirect expenses incurred under the terms of the contracts. Other revenue is recognized as earned.

#### **Functional Allocation Of Expenses**

The costs of providing the various programs and activities have been summarized on a functional basis in the statement of activities. Accordingly, certain costs have been allocated among the program and supporting services benefitted.

#### Subsequent Events

The Organization has performed an evaluation of subsequent events through January 30, 2013, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and has considered any relevant matters in the preparation of the financial statements and footnotes.

Notes To Financial Statements (Continued)

#### (3) <u>Tax Exempt Status</u>

The Foundation has previously received notice from the Internal Revenue Service of exemption from income tax under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Association is considered an instrumentality of the State of Colorado, and accordingly, is exempt from income tax. In addition, the Organization qualifies for the charitable contribution deduction and has been classified as an organization other than a private foundation under Section 509(a).

The Foundation follows the *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* accounting standard, which requires the Organization to determine whether a tax position (and the related tax benefit) is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by the applicable taxing authority, based solely on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefit to be recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon settlement, presuming that the tax position is examined by the appropriate taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Organization's management evaluated its tax positions to determine the existence of uncertainties, and did not note any matters that would require recognition or which may have an effect on its tax-exempt status.

The Foundation is no longer subject to U.S. federal and state income tax audits on its Form 990 and related state return by taxing authorities for years prior to 2009. The years subsequent to these years contain matters that could be subject to differing interpretations of applicable tax laws and regulations as it relates to the amount and/or timing of income, deductions, and tax credits. Although, the outcome of tax audits is uncertain, the Foundation believes no issues would arise.

#### (4) <u>Concentration Of Credit Risk</u>

The Organization's cash demand deposits are held at financial institutions at which deposits in interest bearing accounts are insured up to \$250,000 by the FDIC. Deposits in non-interest bearing accounts are fully insured by the FDIC. As of June 30, 2012, the Organization's deposits in accounts had not exceeded the FDIC limit.

The Organization maintains a sweep account which is held in a U.S. Government money market account. As of June 30, 2012, the amounts held in this account was \$1,888,325.

Notes To Financial Statements (Continued)

## (5) <u>Investments</u>

The following table presents the Organization's fair value hierarchy for those assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2012:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Investments:				
Mutual funds -				
Bond funds	\$ 1,403,077	\$	\$	\$ 1,403,077
Alternative funds	137,956			137,956
	1,541,033		<b></b>	1,541,033
Exchange traded funds -			-	
Index	362,074			362,074
Commodities	19,400			19,400
	381,474			381,474
Exchange traded notes -			-	
Government Bonds	95,271			95,271
Total	\$ 2,017,778	\$	\$	\$ 2,017,778

Investment income consisted of the following for the year ended June 30, 2012:

Interest and dividend income	\$ 40,010
Net realized and unrealized gains and losses	(10,645)
Total investment income	\$ 29,365

#### (6) **Property And Equipment**

Property and equipment consisted of the following as of June 30, 2012:

Furniture and equipment	\$ 164,292
Leasehold Improvements	30,167
	194,459
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(147,900)
Net property and equipment	\$ 46,559

#### (7) **Deferred Revenues**

As of June 30, 2012, deferred revenue consisted of the following:

Membership dues	\$ 144,000
Forest health	315
Climate initiative	352,160
Environment and natural resources	2,532
	\$ 499,007

## (9) <u>Temporarily Restricted Net Assets</u>

Temporarily restricted net assets as of June 30, 2012, consist of the following:	
Natural Resources	\$ 83,670
Energy Policy	4,469
Time restrictions	46,579
	\$ 134,718

#### (10) Commitments

#### Office Leases

During the year ended June 30, 2012, rent expense incurred was \$176,216.

The Organization's Denver office lease terminates on February 28, 2015. In addition, the Organization entered into an office lease in Washington, D.C., which will terminate on January 31, 2014. Base rent payable is adjusted in January of each year by 2% for changes in the CPI escalation amounts, property taxes and operating expenses.

The future minimum lease payments below have not been reduced by annual minimum sublease rentals of \$98,867 due in the future under subleases which may be terminated.

Year Ended June 30,	Amount
2013	\$ 189,249
2014	424,369
2015	242,081
	\$ 855,699

Notes To Financial Statements (Continued)

#### Government Contracts

The Organization has entered into contracts with member states and other contractors to achieve the contract and federal awards program objectives. As of June 30, 2012, these commitments totaled \$6,055,849 of which \$2,352,631 is committed to member states. During the year ended June 30, 2012, contract to member states expense incurred was \$1,725,493.

Amounts received or receivable from grantor agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by such grantor agencies, principally the federal government. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the applicable funds. In that event, the Organization may be required to refund amounts to the federal government.

#### (11) <u>Tax-Deferred Annuity Plan</u>

The Organization participates in a tax-deferred annuity plan, pursuant to the <u>Internal Revenue Code</u> section 457(b), covering substantially all of its employees. Participants are allowed to contribute a percentage, as calculated by the annuity provider, of their annual salary. The employer is required to contribute a matching amount of a minimum of 3.75% and a maximum of 5% of the employee's annual salary. During the year ended June 30, 2012, pension expense totaled \$64,165.

Supplementary Schedule Of Indirect Cost Rate For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Page -15-

		Indirect
		Expenses
		1
Personnel	\$	288,599
Fringe benefits	Ŧ	146,103
Consultants		49,557
Rent		130,812
Telephone and internet		37,997
Office supplies		10,380
Equipment - maintenance and repair		6,717
Printing expense		1,516
Postage and shipping		459
Publications and subscriptions		2,666
Travel and meeting expenses		10,812
Insurance		4,401
Depreciation		26,989
	<b>1</b>	20,707
Total indirect expenses	\$	717,008
	<b>—</b>	/1/,000
Direct chargeable hours subject to indirect cost		
allocation		18,597
		10,397
Chargeable hours - Headquarters		13,083
		15,085
Chargeable hours - Satellite offices		5,514
		5,514
Average overhead rate - Headquarters	\$	43.17
- 1	Ψ	43.17
Average overhead rate - Satellite offices	\$	27.60
	φ	27.00

Supplementary Schedule Of Fringe Benefits Rate For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Page -16-

		Fringe Benefits
Employee HSA benefit	\$	27,018
Employee bus passes	+	12,140
Health, life, LTD, and dental insurance		196,425
Payroll taxes		20,701
Employer pension expense		64,165
Vacation expense		90,153
Sick leave expense		21,118
Holiday leave expense		57,225
Total fringe benefit expenses	\$	488,945
Direct chargeable hours subject to fringe benefits cost allocation		26 270
		26,379
Average fringe benefits cost per hour	\$	18.54

<b>'ESTERN GOVERNORS' ASSOCIATION</b>	
<b>GOVERNORS'</b>	
WESTERN	

Supplementary Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

7-
*****
1
Page

Federal Grantor and Program Title	CFDA Number	Identifying Number	Program Period	Period	Pro	Program Award	Subr	Subrecipients	Expended
U.S. Department of Energy Nuclear Waste, Transport of Hazardous Wastes of WIPP Western Renewable Energy Zone Western Regional Transmission Expansion Project - ARRA	81.106 81.122 81.122	DE-EM0001204 DE-FC26-08NT01788 DE-OE0000422	07/01/11 05/16/08 04/30/10	06/30/16 12/31/12 04/29/15	<del>\\ \\ \\</del>	2,073,964 1,280,630 12,000,000	\$ <del>\$</del> \$	1,349,216 26,167 1,435,853	<pre>\$ 1,418,426 * 77,544 * 1,696,763 * 3,192,733</pre>
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Western Regional Air Partnership US-Mexico Border Emissions Inventory US-Mexico Border Emissions Inventory	66.034 66.034	XA-96999301 XA-83455501	07/01/08 07/15/09	12/31/11 09/30/13	<del>\$</del> \$	1,467,328 199,955	<del>(A</del> <del>(A</del>	37,504 17,853	133,597 18,086
- Passthrough from BECC	66.034		10/01/11	12/31/12	∽	60,000	\$	36,261	36,451 188,134
U.S. Department of Agriculture Cohesive Strategies Forest Health - Fire Strategies	10.661 10.664	11-DG-11132543-339 10-DG-II132543-236	08/17/11 08/12/10	12/31/14 12/31/14	<del>\$\$</del> \$ <del>\$</del>	185,000 900,000	<del>8</del> <del>8</del>		83,783 333,037 * \$ 416,820
U.S. Department of Interior Mapping Wildlife Cooridors and Crucial Habitat - BLM National Park Service JFSP Project - BLM Westwide Air Quality Modeling Study - BLM	15.231 15.000 15.232 15.236	L11AC20352 #H2350105513 L11AC20205 L11AC20353	09/17/11 09/01/10 07/26/11 09/17/11	09/30/16 06/30/12 03/01/13 09/30/16	<del>හ හ හ</del> <del>හ</del>	95,000 102,818 370,000 500,000	<del>လ လ လ လ</del>	- 15,588 130,729 95,857	38,930 62,929 148,706 129,084 379,649
U.S. Department of Commerce Pass through University Corp for Atmospheric Research	11.431	NA06OAR4310119	04/22/11	04/30/12	\$	145,000			92,025 92,025
* Major Program									\$ 4,269,361

Notes To Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures Of Federal Awards For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

## (1) <u>Method of Accounting</u>

The schedule of expenditures of federal awards has been prepared on an accrual basis.

## (2) <u>Subrecipients</u>

Western Governors' Association provided federal awards to subrecipients under the following programs:

Program Title	CFDA No.		Amount
Nuclear Waste, Transport of Hazardous Wastes of WIPP	81.106		
Arizona Radiation Regulatory Agency		\$	73,812
California Emergency Management Agency			141,110
State of Colorado, Colorado State Patrol			242,287
State of Idaho -Department of Environmental Quality			261,627
State of Nebraska, Emergency Management Agency			114,245
Nevada Agency for Nuclear Projects			63,877
Oregon Department of Energy			67,468
State of Utah			104,094
State of Washington - Washington State Patrol			71,170
State of Wyoming - Office of Homeland Security			106,528
Jennifer Salisbury			63,895
Western Interstate Energy Board			39,103
		\$1	,349,216
Western Renewable Energy Zone	81.122	+ -	, ,= 10
Western Interstate Energy Board		\$	27,387
Western Regional Transmission Expansion Project -ARRA	81.122	Ŧ	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Western Interstate Energy Board		\$	633,018
Regulatory Assistance Project		Ŧ	31,633
Keyes & Fox			124,152
Orbuch Consulting			53,725
Nature Serve			14,744
University of Wyoming			25,678
Audrey Hatch			7,847
Western States Water Council			48,317
Arizona Game and Fish			14,993
California Game and Fish			51,000
Idaho Department of Fish & Game			73,520
Kansas Department of Wildlife, Parks & Tourism			42,500
Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks			112,083
Nebraska Games and Parks			30,167
Nevada Department of Wildlife			20,797
Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife			95,289

# Notes To Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures Of Federal Awards For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Program Title Alaska Department of Fish & Game University of Idaho	CFDA No.		Amount 8,988 5,735
South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks			41,667
Western Regional Air Partnership	66.034		,435,853
Air Science		\$	26,464
Lee Gribovitz			11,040
		\$	37,504
U.SMexico Border Emissions Inventory	66.034		
Jose Alberto Cruzado Martinez		\$	54,114
Forest Health - Fire Strategies	10.664	\$	37,601
National Park Service	15.000		,
Cindy Hubber		\$	15,588
JFSP Project - BLM	15.232	Ŧ	10,000
Air Sciences, Inc		\$	102,045
ENVIRON International Corp			28,684
		\$	130,729
Westwide Air Quality Modeling Study - BLM	15.236		
ENVIRON International Corp		\$	95,857

Page -20-



## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

To the Board of Directors of Western Governors' Association:

We have audited the financial statements of the Western Governors' Association as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated January 30, 2013. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Western Governors' Association's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Western Governors' Association's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Western Governors' Association's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration on internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

www.jdscpagroup.com

### Independent Auditors' Report (Continued)

#### **Compliance And Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Western Governors' Association's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express suchan opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors, management and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Department of Energy and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

# JDS Professional Group

January 30, 2013

Page -22-



# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Board of Directors of Western Governors' Association:

## Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Western Governors' Association with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2012. Western Governors' Association's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Western Governors' Association's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Western Governors' Association's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Western Governors' Association's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Western Governors' Association's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Western Governors' Association complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs identified in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs for the year ended June 30, 2012.

 Members:

 American Institute of Certified Public Accountants • Colorado Society of Certified Public Accountants

 5670 Greenwood Plaza Blvd., Suite 200 • Greenwood Village, CO 80111-2405 • 303 771 0123 • 303 771 0078 fax

www.jdscpagroup.com

Page -23-

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Western Governors' Association is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Western Governors' Association's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Western Governors' Association's Association's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material non compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration on internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, U.S. Department of Energy, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Commerce and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

# JDS Professional Group

January 30, 2013

Schedule Of Findings And Questioned Costs For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

# Section I - Summary Of Auditors' Results

## Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report: unqualified		
Internal control over financial reporting:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	yes	<u>X</u> no
Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	Voc	V nono renorte l
weakinesses:	yes	<u>X</u> none reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	yes	<u>X</u> no
Federal Awards		
Internal control over major programs:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	yes	<u>X</u> no
Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material		
weakness(es)?	yes	<u>X</u> none reported
Type of auditors' report issued on complianc	e for major prog	rams: unqualified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133?

\_\_\_\_ yes \_X\_ no

Schedule Of Findings And Questioned Costs (Continued) For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

Page -25-

Identification of major programs:

CFDA No.	Name of Federal Program
81.122	Western Renewable Energy Zone
81.122	Western Regional Transmission Expansion Project - ARRA
81.106	Nuclear Waste, Transport of Hazardous Wastes of WIPP
10.664	Forest Health - Fire Strategies
Dollar threshold used to dis between type A and type B Auditee qualified as low-ris	programs: \$300,000
Section II - Financial Staten	nent Findings
No matters were reported.	

Section III - Federal Award Findings And Questioned Costs

None

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For The Year Ended June 30, 2012

None.

Page -26-