# House Committee on Natural Resources

# Rob Bishop, Chairman Markup Memo

July 6, 2015

To: Natural Resources Committee Members

From: Subcommittee on Energy and Minerals Staff, x5-9297

Hearing: Full Committee Markup of H.R. 1937 (Amodei), the "National Strategic and Critical

Minerals Production Act of 2015"

# H.R. 1937, the "National Strategic and Critical Minerals Production Act of 2015."

### **Summary of the Bill**

The bill would require the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture to more efficiently develop domestic sources of mineral materials of strategic and critical importance to United States' economic and national security, and manufacturing competitiveness.

### **Cosponsors**

Rep. Mark Amodei (NV-2), Rep. Andy Barr (KY-6), Rep. Dan Benishek (MI-1), Rep. Jason Chaffetz (UT-3), Rep. Tom Cole (OK-4), Rep. K. Michael Conaway (TX-11), Rep. Paul Cook (CA-8), Rep. Kevin Cramer (ND), Rep. John Abney Culberson (TX-7), Rep. Mario Diaz-Balart (FL-25), Rep. Jeff Duncan (SC-3), Rep. Charles J. Fleischmann (TN-3), Rep. Bill Flores (TX-17), Rep. Louie Gohmert (TX-1), Rep. Paul A. Gosar (AZ-4), Rep. Sam Graves (MO-6), Rep. Tom Graves (GA-14), Rep. Cresent Hardy (NV-4), Rep. Joseph J. Heck (NV-3), Rep. Bill Johnson (OH-6), Rep. Mike Kelly (PA-3), Rep. Raul R. Labrador (ID-1), Rep. Doug LaMalfa (CA-1), Rep. Doug Lamborn (CO-5), Rep. Robert E. Latta (OH-5), Rep. Blaine Luetkemeyer (MO-3), Rep. Cynthia M. Lummis (WY), Rep. Kenny Marchant (TX-24), Rep. Tom McClintock (CA-4), Rep. Cathy McMorris-Rodgers (WA-5), Rep. Alexander Mooney (WV-2), Rep. Dan Newhouse (WA-4), Rep. Pete Olsen (TX-22), Rep. Harold Rogers (KY-5), Rep. David Rouzer (NC-7), Rep. Matt Salmon (AZ-5), Rep. James F. Sensenbrenner (WI-5), Rep. Michael K. Simpson (ID-2), Rep. Chris Stewart (UT-2), Rep. Steve Stivers (OH-15), Rep. Scott R. Tipton (CO-3), Rep. Glenn Thompson (PA-5), Rep. Greg Walden (OR-2), Rep. Don Young (AK), and Rep. Ryan Zinke (MT).

# **Background**

A version of the bill passed the House in both the 112<sup>th</sup> (H.R. 4402, July 12, 2012 256-160)<sup>1</sup> and 113<sup>th</sup> Congress (H.R. 761, September 18, 2013, 246-178, and as part of last year's H.R. 4 on September 18, 2014, 253-163)<sup>2</sup>.

H.R. 1937 has a few changes from previous versions of the legislation including updated findings and definitions and revisions to the NEPA equivalence provision to ensure a robust environmental review if this process is determined to be appropriate by the lead agency. The legislation addresses one major problem facing domestic mining projects – lengthy permitting timelines and delays - an issue raised by witnesses at numerous hearings held in several Congresses. It's also an issue that has been identified in the "Ranking of Countries for Mining" *Investment*," published annually by the Behre Dolbear Group<sup>3</sup> (mining industry advisors), as the most serious risk to mining projects in the United States.

In the 2012 and 2013 reports, the United States ranked last with Papua New Guinea (out of twenty-five major mining countries) in permitting delays. In 2014, the U.S. improved in its overall ranking however, the 7 to 10 year permitting timelines still presented the greatest risk to mining projects in the United States.<sup>4</sup>

### **Mining is a Critical Industry**

Mineral production is a key economic activity, supplying strategic and critical metals and minerals essential for agriculture, communication, technology, construction, health care, manufacturing, transportation, and the arts. More specifically, strategic metals and metal alloys are an integral component of aerospace, defense, and other critical infrastructure. Minerals are also necessary to satisfy the basic requirements of an individual's well-being: food, clothing, shelter, and a clean healthy environment.

Mining of mineral resources creates tangible value, introducing new money into the Nation's economic system. Additional tangible value is added to the raw mined product through manufacturing, construction, and other uses. Harvesting domestic mineral resources contributes to local economies, creates jobs, and benefits our nation's overall economic security.

According to the National Research Council, one of the primary advantages the United States possesses over its strongest industrial competitors is its domestic resource base. The

bill/4402/actions?q=%7B%22search%22%3A%5B%22%5C%22hr4402%5C%22%22%5D%7D

https://www.congress.gov/bill/112th-congress/house-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.congress.gov/bill/113th-congress/house-bill/761/related-

bills?q=%7B%22search%22%3A%5B%22%5C%22hr761%5C%22%22%5D%7D

http://www.dolbear.com/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> http://www.dolbear.com/news-resources/documents

United States is among the world's largest producer of many important metals and minerals, particularly copper, gold, lead, molybdenum, silver, and zinc; and it still has substantial domestic reserves of these metals. Yet, U.S. mineral exploration stagnated or declined during most of the 1990's and 2000's while global mineral exploration trends were strongly positive.

In the early 1990s, the U.S. received 20 percent of the worldwide exploration budget, today it hovers around 7 percent.<sup>5</sup> Without increased domestic exploration, significant declines in U.S. mineral production are unavoidable as present reserves are exhausted.

The lack of exploration expenditures and other factors has led to an increased import dependency for non-fuel mineral materials, including U.S. dependence on China for rare-earth elements and rare metals, elements necessary for telecommunications, military technologies, health-care technologies, and conventional and renewable energy technologies.

In 1986 the U.S. was dependent on foreign sources for 30 non-fuel mineral materials, 6 of which were entirely imported to meet the Nation's requirements and another 16 of which were imported to meet more than 60 percent of the Nation's needs. By 2014, the U.S. import dependence for non-fuel mineral materials more than doubled from 30 to 64 commodities, 19 commodities were imported entirely to meet the Nation's requirements, and another 24 commodities required imports of more than 50 percent.<sup>6</sup>

Factors contributing to the decline in domestic mineral exploration activities and other downward trends in the domestic mining industry during the late 1990's are directly related to the regulatory and administrative changes made during that time period, including revisions to Bureau of Land Management's 3809 Regulations<sup>7</sup> and the Solicitor's Millsite Opinion.

Working through the permitting process also became more cumbersome, as federal and state agencies with land management and regulatory responsibilities over mineral exploration and development projects worked at cross purposes to one another. Legal challenges to Records of Decision by anti-mining groups also contributed to the delays and uncertainties in obtaining the necessary permits for exploration and development. As such, the United States averages 7 to 10 years for final approval.

Finally, the President has recognized the problems associated with long permitting time frames for infrastructure and renewable energy projects and has issued guidance documents requiring coordination and timely processing of permits to be issued by federal agencies with regulatory responsibilities in order for the project proponents to be able to begin construction in a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SNL Metals & Mining, World Exploration Trends 2015 Special Report for the PDAC International Convention

http://www.blm.gov/wo/st/en/prog/planning/nepa/webguide/cfr/43 cfr 3809.html

timely manner.<sup>8</sup> This legislation builds on this precedent set by the Administration by applying the principles outlined in the guidance documents to mineral exploration and development projects.

### **Major Provisions of Bill**

**Sec. 3. Definitions.** Defines strategic and critical minerals as those that are necessary:

- (a) For national defense and national security requirements;
- (b) For the Nation's energy infrastructure including pipelines, refining capacity, electrical power generation and transmission, and renewable energy production;
- (c) To support domestic manufacturing, agriculture, housing, telecommunications, healthcare and transportation infrastructure; and
- (d) For the Nation's economic security and balance of trade.

### Title I – Development of Domestic Sources of Strategic and Critical Minerals

### Sec. 101. Improving Development of Strategic and Critical Minerals.

States those domestic mines that provide strategic or critical minerals shall be treated as an "infrastructure project" as laid out in the Presidents Executive Order "Improving Performance of federal Permitting and Review of Infrastructure Projects" dated March 22, 1012.

### Sec. 102. Responsibilities of the Lead Agency.

Outlines responsibilities for the lead agency responsible for issuing mineral exploration or mine permits:

- Lays out the responsibilities of the lead agency including the identification of a project lead who's responsibility is to coordinate with stakeholders, cooperating agencies and project proponents in order to minimize delays, set and adhere to timelines and schedules for completion of reviews, establish clear permitting goals and to track progress in meeting those goals.
- Provides for some NEPA relief if the lead agency and/or state agency have procedural and substantive safeguards built into their permitting process to ensure that environmental safeguards are taken into account.
- Requires the lead agency to enhance government coordination on permitting and review
  by avoiding duplicative reviews, minimizing paperwork and engaging other agencies and
  stakeholders early in the process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2012-03-28/pdf/2012-7636.pdf

- A project proponent can request that the project lead enter into an agreement that lays out a timeline for permit review process. The review process cannot exceed more than 30 months unless the signatories to the agreement agree to an extension of time.
- The lead agency only has to address agency or public comments that were submitted during the public comment period.
- Restates current bonding requirements.

### Sec. 103. Conservation of the Resource.

Contains a 'conservation of the resource' provision – the responsibility of the lead agency is to maximize the development of the resource, while mitigating for environmental impacts so that more of the mineral resources can be brought to the marketplace.

# Sec. 104. Federal Register Process for Mineral Exploration and Mining Projects.

Reforms the process currently practiced by the Department of the Interior for placing and reviewing Federal Register notices for mineral exploration and mining projects that currently adds months and even years to the permitting process.

# Title II – Judicial Review of agency Actions Relating to Exploration and Mining Permits.

#### Sec. 201. Definitions For Title.

For purposes of the title defines "covered civil action" containing a claim under section 702 of title 5, United States Code, regarding agency action affecting a mineral exploration or mine permit.

### Sec. 202. Timely Filings

Provides a 60 day window for parties to file a civil action.

### Sec. 203. Right to Intervene

The holder of any mineral exploration or mine permit may intervene as of right in any covered civil action by a person affecting rights or obligations of the permit holder under the permit.

### Sec. 204 Expedition in Hearing and Determining the Action.

Requires the court to hear and determine any covered action as expeditiously as possible.

### Sec. 205 Limitation on Prospective Relief.

Limits prospective relief unless the relief is narrowly drawn, is necessary to correct a violation of a legal requirement and is the least intrusive means to correct the violation.

# Sec. 206. Limitation on Attorneys' Fees.

Civil actions under the Equal Access to Justice Act are not allowed and the Federal government cannot pay for attorney's fees, expenses or court costs.

### **Title III—Miscellaneous Provisions**

### SEC. 301. Secretarial Order Not Affected.

States that the provisions of the Act shall do not apply to areas covered by Secretarial Order 3324, issued by the Secretary of the Interior on December 3, 2012, that addresses a long standing conflict between co-located potash and oil and gas leases in southeastern New Mexico.

## **Effect on Current Law**

None

### Cost

For H.R. 761 in the 113<sup>th</sup> Congress, CBO estimated that the legislation would have no appreciable impact on the budget.

## **Administration Position**

The Administration does not support the legislation.