## U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE REPUBLICANS

CONGRESSMAN DOC HASTINGS, RANKING MEMBER

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE Thursday, June 11, 2009

CONTACT: <u>Emily Lawrimore</u> or <u>Jill Strait</u> 202-226-2311

## Ranking Member Hastings' Statement on the

**WASHINGTON, D.C.** – House Natural Resources Committee Ranking Member Doc Hastings delivered the following statement today during the Full Committee hearing on H.R. 2314, the

**Native Hawaiian Government Reorganization Act** 

"Mr. Chairman, thank you for scheduling this hearing on H.R. 2314, the *Native Hawaiian Government Reorganization Act of 2009* sponsored by our good friend from Hawaii, Mr. Abercrombie.

Native Hawaiian Government Reorganization Act of 2009. Click here to watch.

If effort and persistence were all that were necessary to enact a bill, this would have become law the first year Mr. Abercrombie sponsored it. Because of my high regard for him and his bipartisanship in pursuing enactment of this bill, it is with a sense of regret that I find myself in the opposition.

Mr. Chairman, the House debated identical legislation in the  $110^{\rm th}$  Congress in Committee and on the Floor, subsequent to which the full House passed it. At the time, I was a Member of the Rules Committee and managed the Rule for the consideration of this bill for the Republicans.

As in the last Congress, I am opposed to enacting this bill for the same reasons I described on the Floor then. No new circumstantial or legal evidence has come to light to change my opinion.

If anything, the latest 9-0 Supreme Court ruling in *Hawaii v. Office of Hawaiian Affairs*, decided March 31, 2009, casts a larger shadow than before on the doubtful proposition that Congress constitutionally can and should extend recognition to a governing entity for Native Hawaiians.

It bears noting that the Bush Administration threatened to veto the bill. Though President Obama is not bound by this, the previous Administration's position largely rested on constitutional concerns raised by the Department of Justice, constitutional concerns with granting recognition to an entity that is effectively based on race.

Unfortunately, because no one from the Departments of Justice and Interior and the White House are here today, we really have no idea how President Obama came to the conclusion that this bill does not cross a constitutional boundary line separating recognition of an Indian tribe from recognition of a race-based government prohibited under the  $14^{\rm th}$  Amendment.

In 2006, the Department of Justice sent letters to the Senate expressing deep concern that this legislation would 'divide people by their race' and that the Supreme Court and lower Federal Courts have been invalidating certain state laws providing race-based qualifications for certain state programs.

It would be helpful to have someone from the Justice Department present today to expand on these concerns. Their absence only makes one wonder if the White House does not want the Justice Department's prior legal analysis to trump the President's political support for Native Hawaiian recognition."

###